

Cascades, Order and Ultrafilters

Andrzej Starosolski

*Politechnika Śląska, Wydział Matematyki Stosowanej ul. Kaszubska 23, 44-101 Gliwice,
Poland*

Abstract

We investigate mutual behavior of cascades, contours of which are contained in a fixed ultrafilter. Using that relation we prove (ZFC) that the class of strict J_{ω^ω} -ultrafilters, introduced by J. E. Baumgartner in *Ultrafilters on ω* , is empty. We translate the result to the language of $<_\infty$ -sequences under an ultrafilter, investigated by C. Laflamme in *A few special ordinal ultrafilters*, to show that if there is an arbitrary long finite $<_\infty$ -sequence under u than u is at least strict $J_{\omega^{\omega+1}}$ -ultrafilter.

Keywords: ordinal ultrafilters, monotone sequential cascades

2010 MSC: 03E04, 03E05

1. Introduction

Baumgartner in the article *Ultrafilters on ω* ([1]) introduced a notion of $\mathcal{I}$ -ultrafilters: Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal on X , an ultrafilter (on ω) is an $\mathcal{I}$ -ultrafilter, if and only if, for every function $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ there is a set $U \in u$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}$. This kind of ultrafilters was studied by large group of mathematician. We shall mention only the most important papers in this subject from our point of view: J. Brendle [3], C. Laflamme [13], Shelah [14], [15], Błaszczyk [2]. Among other types of ultrafilters J. E. Baumgartner introduced ordinal ultrafilters, precisely ω_1 sequence of classes of ultrafilters. We say that u is J_α ultrafilter (on ω) if for each function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ there is $U \in u$ such that $\text{ot}(f(U)) < \alpha$, where $\text{ot}(\cdot)$ denotes the order type. For additional information about ordinal ultrafilters a look at [1], [3], [18] is recommended. In [1] J. E. Baumgartner proved (in Theorems 4.2 and 4.6) that for each successor ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ the class of strict J_{ω^α} -ultrafilters (see below) is nonempty if P-points exist, he also pointed out that: "In general we do not know, whether, if α is limit, there is a J_{ω^α} -ultrafilter that is no J_β -ultrafilter, for some $\beta < \omega^\alpha$, even if CH or MA assumed". Here, such ultrafilters we call strict J_{ω^α} -ultrafilters, and we partially solve the problem, showing (ZFC) that the class of strict J_{ω^ω} -ultrafilters is empty.

Email address: Andrzej.Starosolski@polsl.pl (Andrzej Starosolski)

If u is a filter(base) on $A \subset B$, then we identify u with the filter on B for which u is a filter-base. Let u, v be ultrafilters on ω , recall that $v <_\infty u$ if there is a function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f(u) = v$ and f is not finite-to-one or constant on any set $U \in u$. In [13] C. Laflamme proved (reformulation of Lemma 3.2) that if an ultrafilter u has an infinite decreasing $<_\infty$ -sequence below, then u is at least strict $J_{\omega+1}$ -ultrafilter. He also stated the following

[13, Open Problem 1] What about the corresponding influence of increasing $<_\infty$ -chains below u ? Given such an ultrafilter u with an increasing infinite $<_\infty$ -sequence $u >_{RK} \dots >_\infty u_1 >_\infty u_0$ below, fix maps g_i and f_i witnessing $u >_{RK} u_i$ and $u_{i+1} >_\infty u_i$ respectively. The problem is really about the possible connections between g_i and $f_i \circ g_{i+1}$ even relative to members of u .

[13, Open Problem 2] Can we have an ultrafilter u with arbitrary long finite $<_\infty$ -chains below u without infinite one? This looks like the most promising way to build a strict J_ω -ultrafilter.

We find affirmative answer to the first problem and negative answer to the second one.

2. Preliminaries

In [6] S. Dolecki and F. Mynard introduced monotone sequential cascades - special kind of trees - as a tool to describe topological sequential spaces. Cascades and their contours appeared to be also a useful tool to investigate certain types of ultrafilters on ω , namely ordinal ultrafilters and P-hierarchy (see [18], [17]), here we focus on the first of them.

The *cascade* is a tree V , ordered by " $\sqsubseteq$ ", without infinite branches and with a least element $\emptyset_V$. A cascade is *sequential* if for each non-maximal element of V ($v \in V \setminus \max V$) the set v^{+V} of immediate successors of v (in V) is countably infinite. We write v^+ instead of v^{+W} if it is known in which cascade the successors of v are considered. If $v \in V \setminus \max V$, then the set v^+ (if infinite) may be endowed with an order of the type ω , and then by $(v_n)_{n \in \omega}$ we denote the sequence of elements of v^+ , and by v_{nW} - the n -th element of v^{+W} . We say that v is a *predecessor* of v' (we write $v = \text{pred}(v')$) if $v' \in v^+$.

The *rank* of $v \in V$ ($r_V(v)$ or $r(v)$) is defined inductively as follows: $r(v) = 0$ if $v \in \max V$, and otherwise $r(v)$ is the least ordinal greater than the ranks of all immediate successors of v . The rank $r(V)$ of the cascade V is, by definition, the rank of $\emptyset_V$. If it is possible to order all sets v^+ (for $v \in V \setminus \max V$) so that for each $v \in V \setminus \max V$ the sequence $(r(v_n)_{n < \omega})$ is non-decreasing (other words if for each $v \in V \setminus \emptyset_V$ the set $\{v' \in (\text{pred}(v))^+ : r(v') < \alpha\}$ is finite for each $\alpha < r(v)$), then the cascade V is *monotone*, and we fix such an order on V without indication. Thus we introduce lexicographic order $<_{lex}$ on V in the following way: $v' <_{lex} v''$ if $v' \sqsupset v''$ or if there exist $v, \tilde{v}' \sqsubseteq v'$ and $\tilde{v}'' \sqsubseteq v''$ such that $\tilde{v}' \in v^+$ and $\tilde{v}'' \in v^+$ and $\tilde{v}' = v_n, \tilde{v}'' = v_m$ and $n < m$.

Let W be a cascade, and let $\{V_w : w \in \max W\}$ be a set of pairwise disjoint cascades such that $V_w \cap W = \emptyset$ for all $w \in \max W$. Then, the *confluence* of cascades V_w with respect to the cascade W (we write $W \leftarrow \wp V_w$) is defined as a

cascade constructed by the identification of $w \in \max W$ with $\emptyset_{V_w}$ and according to the following rules: $\emptyset_W = \emptyset_{W \leftarrow V_w}$; if $w \in W \setminus \max W$, then $w^{+W \leftarrow V_w} = w^{+W}$; if $w \in V_{w_0}$ (for a certain $w_0 \in \max W$), then $w^{+W \leftarrow V_w} = w^{+V_{w_0}}$; in each case we also assume that the order on the set of successors remains unchanged. By $(n) \leftarrow V_n$ we denote $W \leftarrow V_w$ if W is a sequential cascade of rank 1.

Also we label elements of a cascade V by sequences of naturals of length $r(V)$ or less, by the function which preserves the lexicographic order, v_l is a resulting name for an element of V , where l is the mentioned sequence (i.e. $v_{l \smallfrown n} = (v_l)_n \in v^+$); by V_l we denote $v_l^\uparrow$ and by $L_{\alpha, V}$ we understand $\{l \in \omega^{<\omega} : r_V(v_l) = \alpha\}$. Let $v, v' \in V$, we say that v' is a *predecessor* of v (in V) if $v \in v'^+$, we write $v' = \text{pred}_V(v)$. For a finite sequence $l = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$ of natural numbers by l^- we denote a sequence l with the last element removed, i.e. $l^- = (n_0, \dots, n_{k-1})$; by l^+ we denote a set of all sequences l' such that $l'^- = l$.

If $\mathbb{U} = \{u_s : s \in S\}$ is a family of filters on X and if p is a filter on S , then the *contour of $\{u_s\}$ along p* is defined by

$$\int_p \mathbb{U} = \int_p u_s = \bigcup_{P \in p} \bigcap_{s \in P} u_s.$$

Such a construction has been used by many authors ([8], [9], [10]) and is also known as a sum (or as a limit) of filters. On the sequential cascade, we consider the finest topology such that for all but the maximal elements v of V , the co-finite filter on the set v^{+V} converges to v . For the sequential cascade V we define the *contour* of V (we write $\int V$) as the trace on $\max V$ of the neighborhood filter of $\emptyset_V$ (the *trace* of a filter u on a set A is the family of intersections of elements of u with A). Similar filters were considered in [11], [12], [4]. Let V be a monotone sequential cascade and let $u = \int V$. Then the *rank* $r(u)$ of u is, by definition, the rank of V . It was shown in [7] that if $\int V = \int W$, then $r(V) = r(W)$.

Let S be a countable set. A family $\{u_s\}_{s \in S}$ of filters is referred to as *discrete* if there exists a pairwise disjoint family $\{U_s\}_{s \in S}$ of sets such that $U_s \in u_s$ for each $s \in S$. For $v \in V$ we denote by $v^\uparrow$ a subcascade of V built by v and all successors of v . If $U \subset \max V$ and $U \in \int V$, then by $U^\downarrow V$ we denote the biggest (in the set-theoretical order) monotone sequential subcascade of cascade V built of some $v \in V$ such that $U \cap \max v^\uparrow \neq \emptyset$. We write $v^\uparrow$ and $U^\downarrow$ instead of $v^\uparrow V$ and $U^\downarrow V$ if we know in which cascade the subcascade is considered. The reader may find more information about monotone sequential cascades and their contours in [5], [6], [7], [16], [17], [18].

In the remainder of this paper each filter is considered to be on ω , unless indicated otherwise.

3. Existence of ordinal ultrafilters

For a monotone sequential cascade V by f_V we denote an *lexicographic order respecting function* $\max V \rightarrow \omega_1$, i.e., such a function that $v' <_{lex} v''$ iff $f_V(v') <$

$f_V(v'')$ for each $v', v'' \in \max V$. If $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ and $f = f_V$ for some monotone sequential cascade V then we say that V corresponds with an order of f .

Let V and W be monotone sequential cascades such that $\max V \supset \max W$. We say that W *increases the order of* V (we write) $W \Rightarrow V$ if $\text{ot}(f_W(U)) \geq \text{indec}(\text{ot}(f_V(U)))$ for each $U \subset \max W$, where $\text{indec}(\alpha)$ is the biggest indecomposable ordinal less than, or equal to α ; by Cantor normal form theorem such a number exists and is defined uniquely. Clearly this relation is idempotent and transitive. Although relation of increasing of order says that one cascade is somehow bigger than another, this relation is quite independent with the containment of contours.

Example 3.1. ($\int T \supset \int V \not\Rightarrow T \Rightarrow V$) Let $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint monotone sequential cascades of rank 2. For each $n < \omega$ choose v_n - an arbitrary element of $\max V_n$. Let $V'_n = V_n \setminus \{v_n\}$ and let V'_ω be an arbitrary monotone sequential cascade of rank 2 such that $\max V_\omega = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{v_n\}$. Now put $T = (n) \leftarrow_{n < \omega} V_n$ and $V = (n) \leftarrow_{n \leq \omega} V'_n$.

Example 3.2. ($T \Rightarrow V \not\Rightarrow \int T \supset \int V$) Let $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint monotone sequential cascades of rank 1. For each $n < \omega$ choose v_n - an arbitrary element of $\max V_n$. Let $(B_n)_{n < \omega}$ be a partition of $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \{v_n\}$ into infinite sets. Let V'_n be a monotone sequential cascade of rank 1, such that $\max V'_n = (\max V_n \setminus \{v_n\}) \cup B_n$. Put $T = (n) \leftarrow V'_n$ and $V = (n) \leftarrow V_n$.

Let V and W be monotone sequential cascades, let $f : V \rightarrow W$ be a finite-to-one, $\sqsubseteq_V$ order preserving surjection such that $F|_{\max V \cup \emptyset_V} = id|_{\max V \cup \emptyset_V}$ and $v \in f^{-1}(v)$ for each $V \in V$. Then, it is easy to see, that $V \Rightarrow f(V)$ and $f(V) \Rightarrow V$, we call this property locally finite partition property (LFPP)

Let u, p be filters on ω , then $u \vee p$ we define as $\{X \in \omega : \text{there exist } U \in u \text{ and } P \in p \text{ such that } U \cap P \subset X\}$.

Let u be an ultrafilter and let V, W be monotone sequential cascades such that $\int V \subset u$ and $\int W \subset u$. Then we say that *rank α in cascade V agree with rank β in cascade W with respect to the ultrafilter u* if for any choice of $\tilde{V}_{p,s} \in \int V_p$ and $\tilde{W}_{p,s} \in \int W_s$ there is: $\bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{\alpha,V} \times L_{\beta,W}} (\tilde{V}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{p,s}) \in u$; this relation is denoted by $\alpha_V E_u \beta_W$.

Proposition 3.3. Let u be an ultrafilter and let V, W be monotone sequential cascades such that $\int V \subset u$ and $\int W \subset u$. Then $1_V E_u 1_W$ and $r(V)_V E_u r(W)_W$.

Proof. First suppose that $r(V) = 1$ or $r(W) = 1$, say $r(V) = 1$. Clearly $\text{card}(L_{1,V}) = 1$. For each $(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}$ take any $\tilde{V}_{p,s} \in \int V_p$ and any $\tilde{W}_{p,s} \in \int W_s$. Notice that since $\int V$ is a co-finite filter on $\max V$ thus for each $(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}$ the set $(\tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \max V) \setminus (\tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s})$ is finite. Therefore there exist $\hat{W}_{p,s} \subset \tilde{W}_{p,s}$, $\hat{W}_{p,s} \in \int W_{p,s}$ such that $\hat{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s} = \tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \max V$. Thus $\bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s}) \supset \bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\hat{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s}) = \bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\hat{W}_{p,s}) \cap \max V$. Since $\bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\hat{W}_{p,s}) \in \int W \subset u$ and $\max V \in \int V \subset u$ thus $\bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s}) \in u$.

Before we deal with case $r(V) \geq 2$ and $r(W) \geq 2$ we state the following claim: in assumption of this Proposition, if a set U is such that for each $(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times$

$L_{1,W}$ the intersection $U \cap \max V_p \cap \max W_s$ is finite, then $U \notin u$. Let $f : \omega \rightarrow L_{1,V}$, $h : \omega \rightarrow L_{1,W}$ be bijections, and let $G(i, j) = U \cap \max V_{f(i)} \cap \max W_{h(j)}$ for $i, j \in \omega$. Let $\Delta^\geq = \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega : i \leq j\}$, $\Delta^\leq = \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega : i \geq j\}$. Since u is an ultrafilter thus either $G(\Delta^\geq) \in u$ or $G(\Delta^\leq) \in u$. But $G(\Delta^\geq)$ is finite on each $\max V_p$ for $p \in L_{1,V}$ and so $(G(\Delta^\geq))^c \in \int V$ therefore $G(\Delta^\geq) \notin u$. Also $G(\Delta^\leq)$ is finite on each $\max W_s$ for $s \in L_{1,W}$ and so $(G(\Delta^\leq))^c \in \int W$ therefore $G(\Delta^\leq) \notin u$.

Now let $r(V) \geq 2$ and $r(W) \geq 2$ and suppose on the contrary that $K = \bigcup_{(p,s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}} (\tilde{W}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{V}_{p,s}) \notin u$ for some choice of $\tilde{V}_{p,s} \in \int V_p$ and $\tilde{W}_{p,s} \in \int W_s$. Thus $K^c \in u$. Put $R^\# = \{(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W} : \int V_p \# \int W_s\}$ and $R^\# = \{(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W} : \int V_p \not\# \int W_s\}$. Define $K_1 = \bigcup_{(p,s) \in R^\#} ((\max V_p \cap \max W_s) \setminus (\tilde{V}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{p,s}))$ and $K_2 = \bigcup_{(p,s) \in R^\#} (\max V_p \cap \max W_s)$ and notice that $K^c \subset K_1 \cup K_2$. Thus either $K_1 \in u$, or $K_2 \in u$. Since traces of $\int W_s$ and of $\int V_p$ on $\max V_p \cap \max W_s$ are co-finite filters (on $\max V_p \cap \max W_s$) thus $K_1 \cap \max V_p \cap \max W_s = (\max V_p \cap \max W_s) \setminus (\tilde{V}_{p,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{p,s})$ is finite on each $(p, s) \in R^\#$ and empty on each $(p, s) \in R^\#$. By similar reasoning K_2 is finite on each $(p, s) \in L_{1,V} \times L_{1,W}$. Therefore by claim above $K_1 \notin u$ and $K_2 \notin u$ - contradiction. Second statement of Proposition 3.3 is clear. ■

In the above Proposition 3.3 the inverse of the implication does not hold.

Example 3.4. Let $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq 2\omega}$ be a partition of ω into infinite sets. Let V_α be a monotone sequential cascade of rank 1 such that $\max V_\alpha = A_\alpha$. Let $W = (\alpha) \uparrow_{\alpha \leq \omega} V_\alpha$, $V = (\alpha) \uparrow_{\omega \leq \alpha < 2\omega} V_\alpha$, and let u be any free ultrafilter containing A_ω . Clearly $\int V \not\subset u$, $\int W \not\subset u$ but $1_V E_u 1_W$.

Although the following Theorem 3.5 is stated using the " $\Rightarrow$ " relation, it is worth to look at the proof of it as on the description of possible relations of cascades whose contours are contained in the same ultrafilter, and as a description of operation which leads from such cascades to others whose contours are also contained in the same ultrafilter.

Theorem 3.5. Let u be an ultrafilter and let V, W be monotone sequential cascades of finite ranks such that $\int V \subset u$ and $\int W \subset u$. Then $n_V E_u m_W$ implies the existence of a monotone sequential cascade T of rank $\max\{r(V), r(W)\} \leq r(T) \leq r(V) + r(W)$ and such that $\int T \subset u$, $T \Rightarrow V$, $T \Rightarrow W$, $\int V \subset \int T$ and $\int W \subset \int T$.

Proof. Before we start the proof, let us make the following remarks: in this theorem we claim (in place of $\int T \subset u$) that (under the same assumption and notation) $\int T \subset (\int V \vee \int W)$, and this formulation also will be used in the proof; cascade T build in this proof has ranks not less then $\max\{n, m\} + \max\{r(V) - n, r(W) - m\}$ and not greater then $r(V) + r(W) - 1$ and this is inductively used in the proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that each branch in V has length $r(V)$ and each branch in W has length $r(W)$, and that $r(V) \leq r(W)$.

We proceed by induction by $r(W)$, and for each $r(W)$ by sub-induction by $r(V)$. First step of induction and of sub-inductions is $r(V) = 1$ and then we

take $T = W \downarrow^{\max V}$ which clearly fulfills the claim. Assume that the claim is proved for all cascades $\check{V}, \check{W}$ which behave like in assumptions and such that $r(\check{V}) \leq r(\check{W})$ and either $r(\check{W}) < r(W)$ or else $(r(\check{W}) = r(W) \text{ and } r(\check{V}) < r(V))$.

We consider 3 cases

- 1) $n < r(V)$ and $m < r(W)$;
- 2) $(n = r(V) \text{ and } m < r(W))$ or $(n < r(V) \text{ and } m = r(W))$;
- 3) $n = r(V)$ and $m = r(W)$.

Case 1) Let $R^\# = \{(l, s) \in L_{n,V} \times L_{m,W} : \int V_l \# \int W_s\}$. Notice that exactly one of the following 3 subcases holds:

1.1) There is $K_{F-F} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{F-F}(l)) < \omega$ and $\text{card}(K_{F-F}^{-1}(s)) < \omega$ for each $l \in \text{dom } K_{F-F}$, $s \in \text{rng } K_{F-F}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{F-F}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$;

1.2) $\sim$ 1.1 and there is $K_{\infty-F} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{\infty-F}^{-1}(s)) < \omega$ for each $s \in \text{rng } K_{\infty-F}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{\infty-F}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$;

1.3) $\sim$ 1.1 and there is $K_{F-\infty} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{F-\infty}(l)) < \omega$ for each $l \in \text{dom } K_{F-\infty}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{F-\infty}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$.

Let $\Delta^\leq = \{(i, j) : i \geq j; i, j \in \omega\}$ and $\Delta^\geq = \{(i, j) : i \leq j; i, j \in \omega\}$. Let $p : \omega \rightarrow L_{n,V}$ and $q : \omega \rightarrow L_{m,W}$ be bijections. For $X \subset \omega \times \omega$ define $G(X) = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in X} (\max V_{p(i)} \cap \max W_{q(j)})$ and put $(p, q)(x_1, x_2) = (p(x_1), q(x_2))$. Since $G(\Delta^\geq) \cup G(\Delta^\leq) \in u$ thus either $G(\Delta^\geq) \in u$ (case 1.1 or 1.2) or $G^\geq(\Delta) \in u$ (case 1.1 or 1.3). For $A \subset R$ we define also $H(A) = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in A} (\max V_l \cap \max W_s)$.

Since u is an ultrafilter thus without loss of generality (by LFPP, for case 1.1 used twice, its property of increasing order and transitivity of " $\Rightarrow$ " relation) exactly one of the following subcases holds.

1.1') There is $K_{1-1} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{1-1}(l)) = 1$ and $\text{card}(K_{1-1}^{-1}(s)) = 1$ for each $(l, s) \in K_{1-1}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{1-1}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$;

1.2') $\sim$ 1.1 and there is $K_{\infty-1} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{\infty-1}^{-1}(s)) = 1$ and $\text{card}(K_{\infty-1}(l)) = \omega$ for each $(l, s) \in K_{\infty-1}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{\infty-1}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$;

1.3') $\sim$ 1.1 and there is $K_{1-\infty} \subset R^\#$ such that $\text{card}(K_{1-\infty}(l)) = 1$ and $\text{card}(K_{1-\infty}^{-1}(s)) = \omega$ for each $(l, s) \in K_{1-\infty}$ and $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in K_{1-\infty}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \in u$ for each choice of $\tilde{V}_{l,s} \in \int V_{l,s}$ and of $\tilde{W}_{l,s} \in \int W_{l,s}$.

Subcase 1.1') Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\max V = \max W = H(K_{1-1})$. Define a series of sets: $R = L_{n,V} \times L_{m,W}$, $R(A) = \{(l, s) \in R : \text{card}(\max V_l \cap \max W_s \cap A) = \omega\}$ for $A \subset \omega$, $\hat{u} = \{R(U) : U \in u\}$, $\hat{V} = \{v \in V : r(v) > n\} \cup R(\max V)$, $\hat{W} = \{w \in W : r(w) > m\} \cup R(\max W)$. On $\hat{V}$ we define order $\sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}}$ by: if $v_1, v_2 \in V \cap \hat{V}$, $(l, s) \in R$ then: $v_1 \sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}} v_2$ iff $v_1 \sqsubseteq_V v_2$; $v_1 \sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}} (l, s)$ iff $\text{card}(\max V_l \cap \max W_s \cap \max v_1^{\uparrow V}) = \omega$. On $\hat{W}$ we introduce order in the analogical way. Notice that $\hat{u}$ is an ultrafilter

on R and that $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{W}$ are monotone sequential cascades (on R) and that $r(\hat{V}) = r(V) - n$, $r(\hat{W}) = r(W) - m$ and that $\int \hat{V} \subset \hat{u}$ and $\int \hat{W} \subset \hat{u}$.

By inductive assumption there is $\hat{T}$ monotone sequential cascade (on R) of rank $\max\{r(V) - n, r(W) - m\} \leq r(\hat{T}) \leq r(V) + r(W) - n - m - 1$ and such that $\int \hat{T} \subset \hat{u}$ and $\hat{T} \Rightarrow \hat{V}$, and $\hat{T} \Rightarrow \hat{W}$, also by inductive assumption, for each $(l, s) \in R^\#$ there is a monotone sequential cascade $T_{l,s}$ of rank $\max\{n, m\} \leq r(T_{l,s}) \leq n + m - 1$ such that $T_{l,s} \Rightarrow V_l$, $T_{l,s} \Rightarrow W_s$, $\int T_{l,s} \subset \int V_l \vee \int W_s$. Define $\bar{T} = \hat{T} \leftrightarrow_{\{(l,s); \max V_l \cap \max W_s \in \max \hat{T}\}} T_{l,s}$. Take any $A \in \int \bar{T}$, thus there exist $\hat{A} \in \int \hat{T}$ and $A_{l,s} \in \int T_{l,s}$ such that $A = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} A_{l,s}$. Since $A_{l,s} \supset \tilde{V}_l \cap \tilde{W}_s$ for some $\tilde{V}_l \in \int V_l$ and $\tilde{W}_s \in \int W_s$ so $A \supset \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in R} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \cap \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V_l \cap \max W_s)$. Since $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V_l \cap \max W_s) \in u$ thus $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in R} (\tilde{V}_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \cap \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V_l \cap \max W_s) \in u$ and so $A \in u$ and so $\int \bar{T} \subset u$. Consider sets $U_i = \bigcup_{\{(l,s) \in R^\# : r(T_{l,s}) = i\}} \max T_{l,s}$. By inductive assumption - upper limitation of ranks, only finite number of these sets are nonempty, and since $\bigcup_{i < \omega} U_i \in u$ thus $U_{i_0} \in u$ for some i_0 . Let $T = \bar{T} \downarrow^{U_{i_0}}$. Clearly $\int T \in u$. Calculation of the rank of T follows easily. Take any $P \in \max T$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{ot}(f_V(P)) = \omega^b$ for some $b \leq r(V)$. Split R into following sets $R_a = \{(l, s) \in R : \omega^{a-1} \leq \text{ot}(f_V(P \cap \max V_l \cap \max W_s)) < \omega^a\}$ for $a \in \{1, \dots, b+1\}$. For some a , say a_0 , we have $\text{ot}(f_V(\bigcup_{(l,s) \in R_{a_0}} \max V_l \cap \max W_s \cap P)) = \omega^b$, thus $\text{ot}(f_{\tilde{V}}(R_{a_0})) \geq \omega^{b-a}$. Therefore $\text{ot}(f_{\tilde{T}}(R_{a_0})) \geq \omega^{b-a}$ and so $\text{ot}(f_T(P)) \geq \omega^b$, and so $T \Rightarrow V$. Proof that $T \Rightarrow W$ is analogical.

Subcase 1.2') Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\max V = \max W = H(K_{\infty-1})$. Consider cascade V' - such a modification of cascade V that in the place of the cascade V_l , for each $l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1}$ we put a following cascade: $(s) \leftrightarrow_{\{(s); (l,s) \in K_{\infty-1}\}} V_l \downarrow^{\max W_s \cap \bigcup_{k > q^{-1}(s)} \max V_l \frown_k}$. Notice that $H(K^\infty) \in \int V$ and so $H(K^\infty) \in u$ so without loss of generality we may assume that $K^\infty = K_{\infty-1}$ and so $V' = V' \downarrow^{H(K^\infty)}$. Notice that V' is a monotone sequential cascade of rank $r(V') = r(V) + 1$ and that $\int V' \in u$. Calculation of the rank is straightforward, so take $P \in \int V'$ and for each $l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1}$ label elements of the set $\{s : (l, s) \in K_{\infty-1}\}$ by natural numbers by preserving lexicographic order bijection, s_n is a resulting name. If $P \in \int V'$ then there exists $\hat{P} \in \int (V \upharpoonright_{v \in V : r(v) \leq n})$, also for each $l : v_l \in \hat{P}$ there exists a cofinite subset A_l of ω that for each (l, s_n) , such that $v_l \in \hat{P}$, $n \in A_l$, there is a set $P_{l \frown s_n} \in \int V_l \downarrow^{\max W_s \cap \bigcup_{k > q^{-1}(s)} \max V_l \frown_k}$, such that $P = \bigcup_{l : v_l \in \hat{P}} \bigcup_{n \in A_l} P_{l \frown s_n}$. Since for each pair (l, s_n) there exist sets $\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \in \int V_l$ and $\tilde{W}_{l,s_n} \in \int W_{s_n}$ such that $P_{l \frown s_n} \supset \tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s_n}$ thus $P \supset \bigcup_{l : v_l \in \hat{P}} \bigcup_{n \in A_l} (\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s_n})$. Clearly $\bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1} : v_l \notin \hat{P}} \max V_l \notin u$ so $\bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1} : v_l \in \hat{P}} \max V_l \in u$. On the other hand (by assumption ~ 1.1) $\bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1}} \bigcup_{l \frown s_n : n \notin A_l} (\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s_n}) \notin u$, where $\tilde{W}_{l,s_n} = \max W_{s_n}$, $\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} = \max V_l$ and $A_l = \omega$ for $(l, s_n) \in K_{\infty-1}$ such that $v_l \notin \hat{P}$. Thus $\bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1}} \bigcup_{l \frown s_n : n \in A_l} (\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s_n}) \in u$, therefore since

$P \supset \bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1} : v_l \in \hat{P}} \max V_l \cap \bigcup_{l \in \text{dom } K_{\infty-1}} \bigcup_{l \sim s_n : n \in A_l} (\tilde{V}_{l,s_n} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s_n})$ we have $P \in u$ and so $\int V' \in u$.

We will show that also $V' \Rightarrow V$ holds. Take any $A \subset \max V'$ and notice that it suffices to prove $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(A \cap \max V_l)) \geq \text{indec}(\text{ot}(f_V(A \cap \max V_l)))$ for such V_l that $r_V(v_l) = n$. So we fix such l and consider $A \cap \max V_l$ assuming, without loss of generality, that $\text{ot}(f_V(A \cap \max V_l)) = \omega^c$ for some $c \leq n$. Consider a following sequence of sets $(\max V_{l \sim k} \cap A)_{k < \omega}$, there is k_0 that $\text{ot}(f_V(A \cap V_{l \sim k_0})) = \omega^c$ or there is O - infinite subset of ω such that $\text{ot}(f_V(A \cap V_{l \sim k})) = \omega^{c-1}$ for each $k \in O$. Notice that each $V_{l \sim k}$ is split, during the construction of V' , into finitely many pieces by sets $\max W_s \cap \bigcup_{k > q^{-1}(s)} \max V_{l \sim k}$. So there is s_0 such that $\text{ot}(f_V(A \cap \max V_{l \sim k})) = \text{ot}(f_V(A \cap \max V_{l \sim k} \cap \max W_{s_0})) = \text{ot}(f_{V'}(A \cap \max V_{l \sim k} \cap \max W_{s_0}))$. Therefore either $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(A \cap \max V_{l \sim k})) = \omega^c$ for some $k < \omega$, or $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(A \cap \max V_{l \sim k})) \geq \omega^{c-1}$ for infinite number of k 's. Thus $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(A \cap \max V_l)) \geq \omega^c$ and so $V' \Rightarrow V$.

We notice that for cascades V' and W conditions described as 1.1 hold. Now we proceed like in subcasce 1.1'. Define a series of sets: $R' = L_{1,V'} \times L_{1,W}$, $R'^{\#} = \{(l, s) \in R' : \int V'_l \# \int W_s, R'(A) = \{(l, s) \in R : \text{card}(\max V'_l \cap \max W_s \cap A) = \omega\}\}$ for $A \subset \omega$, $\hat{u} = \{R(U) : U \in u\}$, $\hat{V}' = \{v \in V' : r(v) > 1\} \cup R(\max V')$, $\hat{W} = \{w \in W : r(w) > 1\} \cup R(\max W)$. Observe that $(l, s_n) \in R \iff (l \sim n, s) \in R'$ and $(l, s_n) \in R^{\#} \iff (l \sim n, s) \in R'^{\#}$. On $\hat{V}'$ we define order $\sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}'}$, by: if $v_1, v_2 \in V' \cap \hat{V}'$, $(l, s) \in R'$ then: $v_1 \sqsubseteq_{V'} v_2$ iff $v_1 \sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}'} v_2$; $v_1 \sqsubseteq_{\hat{V}'} (l, s)$ iff $\text{card}(\max V'_l \cap \max W_s \cap \max v_1^{\uparrow V'}) = \omega$. On $\hat{W}$ we introduce order in the analogical way. Notice that $\hat{u}$ is an ultrafilter on R' and that $\hat{V}'$ and $\hat{W}$ are monotone sequential cascades (on R') and that $r(\hat{V}') = r(V') - 1 = r(V)$, $r(\hat{W}) = r(W) - m$ and that $\int \hat{V}' \subset \hat{u}$ and $\int \hat{W} \subset \hat{u}$.

By inductive (or sub-inductive) assumption (for V' , W and u) there is $\hat{T}$ monotone sequential cascade on R' of rank $\max\{r(V), r(W) - 1\} \leq r(\hat{T}) \leq r(V) + r(W) - 2$ and such that $\int \hat{T} \subset \hat{u}$ and $\hat{T} \Rightarrow \hat{V}'$, and $\hat{T} \Rightarrow \hat{W}$, also by inductive assumption, for each $(l, s) \in R'^{\#}$ there is a monotone sequential cascade $T_{l,s}$ of rank $r(T_{l,s}) = 1$ such that $T_{l,s} \Rightarrow V'_l$, $T_{l,s} \Rightarrow W_s$, $\int T_{l,s} \subset \int V'_l \vee \int W_s$. Define $\bar{T} = \hat{T} \uparrow_{\{(l,s) : \max V'_l \cap \max W_s \in \max \hat{T}\}} T_{l,s}$. Take any $A \in \int \bar{T}$, thus there exist $\hat{A} \in \int \hat{T}$ and $A_{l,s} \in \int T_{l,s}$ such that $A = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} A_{l,s}$. Since $A_{(l,s)} \supset \tilde{V}'_l \cap \tilde{W}_s$ for some $\tilde{V}'_l \in \int V'_l$ and $\tilde{W}_s \in \int W_s$ so $A \supset \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\tilde{V}'_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in R} (\tilde{V}'_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \cap \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V'_l \cap \max W_s)$. Since $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V'_l \cap \max W_s) \in u$ thus $\bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\tilde{V}'_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) = \bigcup_{(l,s) \in R'} (\tilde{V}'_{l,s} \cap \tilde{W}_{l,s}) \cap \bigcup_{(l,s) \in \hat{A}} (\max V'_l \cap \max W_s) \in u$ and so $A \in u$ and so $\int \bar{T} \subset u$. Consider sets $U_i = \bigcup_{\{(l,s) \in R^{\#} : r(T_{l,s}) = i\}} \max T_{l,s}$. By inductive assumption - upper limitation of ranks, only finite number of these sets are nonempty, and since $\bigcup_{i < \omega} U_i \in u$ thus $U_{i_0} \in u$ for some i_0 . Let $T = \bar{T} \downarrow^{U_{i_0}}$. Clearly $\int T \in u$. Calculation of the rank of T follows easily. Take any $P \in \max T$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(P)) = \omega^b$ for some $b \leq r(V)$. Split R' into following sets $R'_a = \{(l, s) \in R' : \omega^{a-1} \leq \text{ot}(f_{V'}(P \cap \max V'_l \cap \max W_s)) < \omega^a\}$ for $a \in \{1, \dots, b+1\}$. For some a , say a_0 , we have $\text{ot}(f_{V'}(\bigcup_{(l,s) \in R'_{a_0}} \max V'_l \cap$

$\max W_s \cap P = \omega^b$, thus $\text{ot}(f_{\hat{V}}(R'_{a_0})) \geq \omega^{b-a}$. Therefore $\text{ot}(f_{\hat{T}}(R'_{a_0})) \geq \omega^{b-a}$ and so $\text{ot}(f_T(P)) \geq \omega^b$, and so $T \Rightarrow V'$ and $T \Rightarrow V$ by transitivity of $\Rightarrow$ relation. Proof that $T \Rightarrow W$ is analogical.

Subcase 1.3') Proof is analogical to 1.2'.

Case 2) In both subcases proof is an easier version of proof in case 1 (subcases 1.2 and 1.3).

Case 3) a) Case $r(V) = r(W) = 1$ was done at the beginning of the proof;

b) If $\min\{r(V), r(W)\} = 1$ and $\max\{r(V), r(W)\} > 1$ then $1_V E_u 1_W$ by Proposition 3.3, and by already proved part 2 of the proof, the required cascade T exists;

c) If $\min\{r(V), r(W)\} > 1$ then $1_V E_u 1_W$ by Proposition 3.3, and by already proved case 1, the required cascade T exists.

Inclusions of contours is straightforward by monotonicity of contour operation with respect to the confluence. ■

By Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 we have

Corollary 3.6. *Let u be an ultrafilter and let V, W be monotone sequential cascades of finite ranks. If $\int V \subset u$ and $\int W \subset u$ then there is a monotone sequential cascade T of finite rank not less than $\max\{r(V), r(W)\}$ and such that $\int T \subset u$ and $T \Rightarrow V$.*

Proposition 3.7. *[18, Proposition 3.3 redefined in virtue of it's proof] Let V be a monotone sequential cascade of rank α . If u is such an ultrafilter that $\int V \subset u$ then $\text{ot}(f_V(U)) \geq \omega^\alpha$ for all $U \in u$.*

Proposition 3.8. *[18, Proposition 3.6] Let α be a countable indecomposable ordinal, let $n < \omega$ and let u be an ultrafilter. If there is a function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that $\text{ot}(f(U)) \geq \omega^{\alpha+n}$ for each $U \in u$ and for each $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ there is $U_g \in u$ such that $\text{ot}(g(U_g)) < \omega^{\alpha+\omega}$, then there exists a monotone sequential cascade V of rank n such that $\int V \subset u$.*

Theorem 3.9. (ZFC) *The class of strict J_{ω^ω} -ultrafilters is empty.*

Proof. Suppose that u is a strict J_{ω^ω} -ultrafilter, thus by definition of this class, for each $n < \omega$ there exists a function $f_n : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that $\text{ot}(f_n(U)) \geq \omega^n$ for each $U \in u$ and there is no function $f_\infty : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ that $\text{ot}(f_\infty(U)) \geq \omega^\omega$ for each $U \in u$. Let K be a set of all such $n < \omega$ that there is $f_n : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that $\text{ot}(f_n(U)) \geq \omega^n$ and that there is $U_n \in u$ such that $\text{ot}(f_n(U_n)) = \omega^n$ ¹⁾. By Proposition 3.7 there is a sequence W_n of monotone sequential cascades such that $r(W_{n+1}) > r(W_n)$ and $\int W_n \subset u$.

We will build a sequence (T_n) of monotone sequential cascades such that

- 1) $(r(T_n))$ is an increasing sequence
- 2) $\int T_n \in u$

⁰¹⁾ In fact $K = \omega$, but since we do not need this in the theorem, we omit a short proof of this fact.

- 3) For each $n < \omega$ there exist sets A_n^0 and A_n^1 that $A_n^i \# \int T_n$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and there is such $i_n \in \{0, 1\}$ that $T_{n+1} \Rightarrow T_n^{\downarrow A_n^{i_n}}$;
4) $\bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, i\}} \max T_{i,j} \cap \max T_{n+1} = \emptyset$, for $T_i = (j) \leftarrow T_{i,j}$;
5) $\bigcap_{n < \omega} \max T_n = \emptyset$.

Define T_1 as the monotone sequential cascade of rank 1 with $\max T_1 = \omega$, clearly $\int T_1 \in u$. Suppose that cascades T_n are already defined for $n \leq m$. For a cascade $T_m = (k) \leftarrow T_{m,k}$ consider sets $B_m^i = \bigcup_{k=2j+i} \max T_{m,k}$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Clearly $B_m^0 \# \int T_m$ and $B_m^1 \# \int T_m$, and since $B_m^1 \cup B_m^0 = \max T_m$ one of these sets belongs to u , call i_m the i for which it happens. Let $C_m = \emptyset$ for $m = 2$ and $C_m = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, i\}} \max T_{i,j}$ for $m \geq 3$. Clearly $B_m^{i_m} \setminus C_m \in u$. So $\int T_m^{\downarrow B_m^{i_m} \setminus C_m} \in u$. By Corollary 3.6 applied to $T_m^{\downarrow B_m^{i_m}}$, W_{m+1} and to u , there is a monotone sequential cascade T_{m+1} of finite rank not less than $m+1$ that $\int T_{m+1} \in u$ and $T_{m+1} \Rightarrow (T_m^{\downarrow B_m^{i_m}})$. We define $A_m^{i_m} = \max T_{m+1}$ and $A_m^b = \omega \setminus A_m^{i_m}$ for $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $b \neq i_m$. Clearly T_{m+1} with $A_m^{i_m}$ and A_m^b fulfill the claim for $n = m+1$. To see that $\bigcap_{n < \omega} \max T_n = \emptyset$, it suffices to notice that $\bigcup_{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \max T_{2,k} \cap \max T_{m+1} = \emptyset$.

Define $T = \bigcup_{n < \omega} T_n^{\downarrow (\omega \setminus A_{n+1}^{i_{n+1}})}$ ordered by:

- 1) If $t_1 \in T_n$ and $t_2 \in T_m$ ($n \neq m$) then $t_1 \sqsubset_T t_2 \Leftrightarrow n < m$
- 2) If $T_1, T_2 \in T_n$ then $t_1 \sqsubseteq_T t_2 \Leftrightarrow t_1 \sqsubseteq_{V_n} t_2$, where " $\sqsubseteq_{V_n}$ " is an order on V_n .

Let $f_\infty : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ be a preserving $\sqsubseteq_T$ order function. Take any $U \in u$ and $n \in \omega$. Since $\int T_{n+1} \in u$ thus $U \# \int T_{n+1}$ and so $U \# \int T_{n+1,k}$ for infinitely many k , take k_0 from this set.

Since $U \# \int T_{n+1,k_0}$ thus $\text{ot}(f_{T_{n+1}}(U \cap \max T_{n+1,k_0})) = r(T_{n+1,k_0}) \geq \omega^n$. By condition 4) $U \cap \max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap \max T_i \neq \emptyset$ only for a finite number of $i > n+1$. So $\{\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U \cap (\max T_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i} \max T_j) : i \geq n+1\}$ is a finite partition of $U \cap \max T_{n+1,k_0}$. Thus there is $i_0 \geq n+1$ such that $\text{ot}(f_{T_{n+1}}(\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U \cap (\max T_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j))) = \text{ot}(f_{T_{n+1}}(\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U)) \geq \omega^n$, and since $T_{i_0} \Rightarrow T_{n+1}$ thus $\text{ot}(f_{T_{i_0}}(\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U \cap (\max T_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j))) \geq \text{indec}(\text{ot}(f_{T_{n+1}}(\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U \cap (\max T_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j))))$, and since $f_\infty \upharpoonright_{\max T_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j} = f_{T_{i_0}} \upharpoonright_{\max T_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j}$ thus $\text{ot}(f_\infty(\max T_{n+1,k_0} \cap U \cap (\max T_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j>i_0} \max T_j))) \geq \omega^n$. Therefore $\text{ot}(f_\infty(U)) \geq \omega^\omega$. ■

There is a straight correspondence between cascades and $<_\infty$ -sequences.

Let u be an ultrafilter, take sequence $u = u_0 >_\infty u_1 >_\infty \dots >_\infty u_n$ and functions $f_m : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ - witnesses that $u_{m-1} >_\infty u_m$.

We will build a monotone sequential cascade V which correspond to the sequence above with respect to some $U \in u$. In this aim we build a sequence of cascades $(W_i)_{i \leq n}$. Take any monotone sequential cascade W_1 of rank 1 and label elements of $\max W_1$ by natural numbers by any bijections. Clearly $W \in$

u_n for each $W \in \int W_1$. Take $W_2 = W_1 \cup \bigcup_{i \in \max W_1, \text{card}(f_n^{-1}(n))=\infty} f_n^{-1}(i)$ ²⁾ ordered by, extended by transitivity, the following preorder: If $w_1, w_2 \in W_1$ then $w_1 \sqsubseteq_{W_2} w_2$ iff $w_1 \sqsubseteq_{W_1} w_2$; if $w_1 \in \max W_1$ and $w_2 \in \max W_2$ then $w_1 \sqsubseteq_{W_2} w_2$ if $f_n^{-1}(w_1) = w_2$. Clearly $W \in u_{n-1}$ for each $w \in \int W_2$. We continue this procedure to get W_n and define $V = W_n$.

Now take any monotone sequential cascade V of finite rank, with $\int W \subset u$, without loss of generality we may assume that all branches of V have the same length n . For each $v \in V$ let $\hat{v}$ be an arbitrary element of $\max v^\uparrow$. Consider functions $f_i : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f_1(v_1) = \hat{v}$ for each $v_1 \in \max v^\uparrow$ where $r(v) = i$. Thus $u >_\infty f_1(u) >_\infty f_2 \circ f_1(u) >_\infty \dots >_\infty f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_2 \circ f_1(u)$, (for details see [17]).

This cascades - $<_\infty$ -sequences correspondence allows us to look at the Proposition 3.3 and Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 (in virtue of its proofs) in the following way:

Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 describes mutual behavior of the functions - witnesses of $<_\infty$ -sequences. Clearly existence of infinite increasing $<_\infty$ -sequences under some ultrafilter implies existence of an arbitrary long finite $<_\infty$ -sequences under this ultrafilter. Theorem 3.9 shows that if an ultrafilter has an arbitrary long finite $<_\infty$ -sequences then is at least a strict $J_{\omega+1}$ -ultrafilter.

We'd like to draw attention, not only to benefits, but also to limitations of the construction presented in the paper. Probably Theorem 3.5 can be proved in a stronger, i.e. infinite version, but still there is rather no hope to extend our construction to other limit ordinals. The problem lays in the relations between order ultrafilters and monotone sequential contours, contained in an ultrafilter, described in Proposition 3.8, with a special emphasis on of the upper limitation of the order-type of images. This limitation is non-removable, what was shown in [18, Theorem 3.9] by proving (under $\text{MA}_{\sigma\text{-centr}}$) that there is a strict $J_{\omega+1}$ -ultrafilter that does not contain any monotone sequential contour of rank 3. Thus we restate Baumgartner question in virtue of our result.

Problem 3.10. *What about other limit classes? Is there a model with non-empty class of the strict J_{ω^α} ultrafilters for some (all) limit $\omega < \alpha < \omega_1$?*

Opposite side of this problem is a Shelah question

Problem 3.11. [15, Question 3.12] *Prove the consistency "there is no J_α -ultrafilter on ω ".*

Under following three theorems of Baumgartner and remembering Shelah model with no P-points, the above question essentially asks about classes of limit index and classes whose index is a successor of a limit ordinal.

Theorem 3.12. [1, Theorem 4.1] *The strict- J_{ω^2} ultrafilters are P-point ultrafilters.*

²⁾Since formally levels in cascade can not intersect we may assume that domain of f_1 and ranges of f_m are subsets of a pairwise disjoint copies of ω .

Theorem 3.13. [1, Theorem 4.2] *If there is a P -point then there are strict- $J_{\omega^{\alpha+1}}$ ultrafilters for all $\alpha < \omega_1$*

Theorem 3.14. [1, Theorem 4.6] *Let $\alpha < \omega_1$ and assume u is a strict $J_{\omega^{\alpha+2}}$ ultrafilter. Then there is a P -point v such that $v \leq_{RK} u$.*

References

- [1] J. E. Baumgartner, Ultrafilters on ω , J. Symbolic Logic 60, 2 (1995), 624-639.
- [2] A. Błaszczyk; Free Boolean algebras and nowhere dense ultrafilters, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 126 (2004) 287-292.
- [3] J. Brendle, Between P -points and nowhere dense ultrafilters, Israel J. Math., 113 (1999) 205-230.
- [4] M. Dagenet, Emploi des filtres sur N dans l'étude descriptive des fonctions, Fund. Math., 95 (1977), 11-33.
- [5] S. Dolecki, Multisequences, Quaest. Math., 29 (2006), 239-277.
- [6] S. Dolecki, F. Mynard, Cascades and multifilters, Topology Appl., 104 (2002), 53-65.
- [7] S. Dolecki, A. Starosolski, S. Watson, Extension of multisequences and countable uniradial class of topologies, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 44, 1 (2003), 165-181.
- [8] Z. Frolík, Sums of ultrafilters, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 87-91.
- [9] G. Griseisen, Gefilterte Summation von Filtern und iterierte Grenzprozesse, I, Math. Ann., 141 (1960), 318-342.
- [10] G. Griseisen, Gefilterte Summation von Filtern und iterierte Grenzprozesse, II, Math. Ann., 144 (1961), 386-417.
- [11] M. Katětov, On descriptive classes of functions, Theory of Sets and Topology - a collection of papers in honour of Felix Hausdorff, D. V. W. (1972).
- [12] M. Katětov, On descriptive classification of functions, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra II, Proc. Sympos. Prague, 1971.
- [13] C. Laflamme, A few special ordinal ultrafilters, J. Symbolic Logic 61, 3 (1996), 920-927. K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, 1988.
- [14] S. Shelah, There may be no nowhere dense ultrafilters, in Logic Colloquium Haifa'95, Lecture Notes Logic 11, Springer, 1998, 305-324; mathLO/9611221
- [15] S. Shelah, On what I do not understand (and have something to say), Fund. Math. 166 (2000), 1-82.
- [16] A. Starosolski, Fractalness of supercontours, Topology Proc. 30, 1 (2006), 389-402.
- [17] A. Starosolski, Ordinal ultrafilters versus P -hierarchy, to appear
- [18] A. Starosolski, P -hierarchy on $\beta\omega$, J. Symbolic Logic 73, 4 (2008), 1202-1214.